

2.7.1 STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY

DEPARTMENT WISE REPORT OF FEEDBACK OF FINAL 3rd YEAR STUDENTS WHO HAVE PASSED OUT DURING THE YEAR - 2022-23

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

The survey is based on 13 final semester English Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of English in the year 2022-23 was five and their names were Prof. Asis Pan, ex-Head of the Department, Prof. Prabir Kanti Basu, present Head of the Department, Prof. Sunandita Sarker, Prof. Saptaparna Saha, & Prof. Abir Sen.

The analysis of the students' feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Asis Kumar Pan

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Syllabus completed in time: 100%

Prof. Prabir Kanti Basu

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sunandita Sarker

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Saptaparna Saha

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Abir Sen

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%
3. Quality of Library Books: Good
4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
 7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): Not Applicable

15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good

16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Satisfactory
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Satisfactory
- e. Library Services: Satisfactory
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Satisfactory
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Satisfactory
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j. Discipline: Good
- k. Cleanliness & sanitation: Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Satisfactory
- m. NSS activities: Good
- n. NCC activities: Good
- o. Drinking water: Satisfactory
- p. Security in the college: Satisfactory

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

- A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable
- B. Playground: Yes
- C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF BENGALI

The survey is based on 16 final semester Bengali Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Bengali in the year 2021-22 was five and their names were Dr. Tanusree Mondal, Head of the Department, Prof. Nagen Murmu, Prof. Sukanta Mukhopadhyay, Prof. Piyali Chakraborty, Prof. Arpita Dey.

The analysis of the students' feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Dr. Tanusree Mondal

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Syllabus completed in time: 100%

Prof. Nagen Murmu

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sukanta Mukhopadhyay

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Piyali Chakraborty

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Arpita Dey

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:

7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:

8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good

9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good

10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): NotApplicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Satisfactory
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Satisfactory
- e. Library Services: Satisfactory
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Satisfactory
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Satisfactory
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good
- k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Satisfactory
- m. NSS activities: Good
- n. NCC activities: Good
- o. Drinking water: Satisfactory

p. Security in the college: Satisfactory

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Appl.

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

The survey is based on 4 final semester Political Science Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Political Science in the year 2021-22 was four and their names were Prof. Debdas Dey, Head of the Department, Prof. Debtanu Majhi, Prof. Prashant Kumar Sharma, Prof. Kamal Roy & Prof. Anindita Mitra

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Debdas Dey:

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. DebtanuMajee:

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Prashant Kumar Sharma

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Kamal Roy

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Anindita Mitra

1. Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): Not
Applicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Satisfactory
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Satisfactory
- e. Library Services: Satisfactory
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Satisfactory
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Satisfactory
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good

k. Cleanliness & sanitation: Good

l. Canteen facilities: Satisfactory

m. NSS activities: Good

n. NCC activities: Good

o. Drinking water: Satisfactory

p. Security in the college: Satisfactory

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

The survey is based on 10 final semester Education Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Education in the year 2021-22 was four and their names were Prof. Sanjay Sarkar, Head of the Department, Prof. Sunita Shaw, Prof. Gargi Gangopadhyay & Prof. Sima Nandy.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Sanjay Sarkar:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Sunita Shaw:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Gargi Gangopadhyay

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Sima Nandy

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good
4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
 7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
 8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): Not Applicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Satisfactory
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Satisfactory
- e. Library Services: Satisfactory
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Satisfactory
- g. Cultural activities: Good

- h. Sports facilities: Satisfactory
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good
- k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Satisfactory
- m. NSS activities: Good
- n. NCC activities: Good
- o. Drinking water: Satisfactory
- p. Security in the college: Satisfactory

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

- A. Computer Lab: Not Appl.
- B. Playground: Yes
- C. Classroom: Yes
- D. Wifi: Yes
- E. Canteen: Yes
- F. Security: Yes
- G. Library: Yes
- H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY

The survey is based on 01 final semester Philosophy Hons. Student who has passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Philosophy in the year 2021-22 was four and their names were Prof. Madhusree Chatterjee, Head of the Department, Prof. Bhanumati Roy, Prof. Mita Chatterjee & Prof. Manisha Dey.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Madhusree Chatterjee

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Bhanumati Roy

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Mita Chatterjee

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Manisha Dey

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%
3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
 7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
 8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab-based subjects): Not Applicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: No Response
- b. Student-teacher relationship: No Response

c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: No Response

e. Library Services: No Response

f. Computer/Wifi facilities: No Response

g. Cultural activities: Good

h. Sports facilities: No Response

i. Academic atmosphere: No Response

j Discipline: No Response

k.Cleanliness& sanitation: No Response

l. Canteen facilities: No Response

m. NSS activities: No Response

n. NCC activities: No Response

o. Drinking water: No Response

p. Security in the college: No Response

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY

The feedback analysis has been made on the basis of response of 11 honours students who have passed out in the session 2022-23. It is to be noted that there are four teachers in the Department of History, two whole timers, Dr. Samindra Mohan Biswas, Head of the Department, Dr. Mitali Dey, and two SACTS II-Prof Haimanti Banerjee and Prof. Epsita Khan:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Dr. Samindra Mohan Biswas:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Dr. Mitali Dey:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Haimanti Banerjee:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Epsita Khan:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%
3. Quality of Library Books: Good
4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): Not
Applicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Very Good
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Very Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Very Good
- e. Library Services: Very Good
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Very Good
- g. Cultural activities: Very Good
- h. Sports facilities: Very Good
- i. Academic atmosphere: Very Good
- j Discipline: Very Good
- k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Very Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Very Good
- m. NSS activities: Very Good
- n. NCC activities: Very Good
- o. Drinking water: Very Good
- p. Security in the college: Very Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

- A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable
- B. Playground: Yes
- C. Classroom: Yes
- D. Wifi: Yes
- E. Canteen: Yes
- F. Security: Yes
- G. Library: Yes
- H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

The survey is based on 4 final semester Computer Science Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Computer Science in the year 2021-22 was four and their names were Prof. Unmesh Mandal, Head of the Department, Prof. Sovan Kumar Ponda, Prof. Rimpi Saha, Prof. Gautam Das & Prof. Apurba Jyoti Roy.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Unmesh Mandal:

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sovan Kumar Ponda

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Rimpi Saha

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Apurba Jyoti Roy

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%
3. Quality of Library Books: Good
4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects):
Good
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Very Good
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Very Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Very Good
- e. Library Services: Very Good
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Very Good
- g. Cultural activities: Very Good
- h. Sports facilities: Very Good
- i. Academic atmosphere: Very Good
- j Discipline: Very Good
- k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Very Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Very Good
- m. NSS activities: Very Good
- n. NCC activities: Very Good
- o. Drinking water: Very Good
- p. Security in the college: Very Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

- A. Computer Lab: Yes
- B. Playground: Yes
- C. Classroom: Yes
- D. Wifi: Yes
- E. Canteen: Yes
- F. Security: Yes
- G. Library: Yes
- H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF SANSKRIT

NO RESPONSE FROM ANY STUDENT

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

NO RESPONSE FROM ANY STUDENT

DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY

The survey is based on 26 final semester Geography Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Geography in the year 2021-22 is three and their names were Dr. Laxman Chandra Pal, Head of the Department, Prof. Argha Saha, & Prof. Pabitra Bhattacharya.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Laxman Chandra Pal

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Argha Saha

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Pabitra Bhattacharya

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:

7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:

8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good

9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good

10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good

11. Internal Assessment: Good

12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good

13. Facility of the departmental library: Good

14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects):

Good

15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good

16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

a. Infrastructural facilities: Good

b. Student-teacher relationship: Good

c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Good

e. Library Services: Good

f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Good

g. Cultural activities: Good

h. Sports facilities: Good

i. Academic atmosphere: Good

j Discipline: Good

k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Good

l. Canteen facilities: Good

m. NSS activities: Good

n. NCC activities: Good

o. Drinking water: Good

p. Security in the college: Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (B. Com Honours)

The survey is based on 113 final semester Commerce Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Commerce in the year 2021-22 is nine and their names are Dr. Subhajit Ghosh, Head of the Department (Day), Prof. Radhakanta Sarkar, Prof. Pinaki Dutta, Prof. Mahadeb Dey, Prof. Debabrata Mukherjee, Prof. Sadhunath Kundu, Head of the Department (Eve.), Prof. Nityalal Sen, Prof. Partho Manna & Prof. Krishna Sarkar.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Dr. Subhajit Ghosh

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Radhakanta Sarkar

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Pinaki Dutta

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Mahadheb Dey

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Debabrata Mukherjee

1. Sincerity: Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Sadhunath Kundu

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Nityalal Sen

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Partho Manna

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Krishna Sarkar

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:

7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:

8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good

9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good

10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good

11. Internal Assessment: Good

12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good

13. Facility of the departmental library: Good

14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects):

Good

15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good

16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Good
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Good
- e. Library Services: Good
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Good
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Good
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good
- k. Cleanliness & sanitation: Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Good
- m. NSS activities: Good
- n. NCC activities: Good
- o. Drinking water: Good
- p. Security in the college: Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

- A. Computer Lab: Yes
- B. Playground: Yes
- C. Classroom: Yes
- D. Wifi: Yes
- E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Improvement in the facilities like library, washrooms, internet, canteen & discipline suggested.

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (GEN.)

The survey is based on 49 final semester Commerce Gen. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Commerce in the year 2021-22 is nine and their names were Dr. Subhajit Ghosh, Head of the Department (Day), Prof. Radhakanta Sarkar, Prof. Pinaki Dutta, Prof. Mahadeb Dey, Prof. Debabrata Mukherjee,

Prof. Sadhunath Kundu, Head of the Department (Eve.),

Prof. Nityalal Sen, Prof. Partho Manna & Prof. Krishna Sarkar.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Dr. Subhajit Ghosh

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Radhakanta Sarkar

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Pinaki Dutta

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Mahadheb Dey

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Debabrata Mukherjee

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sadhunath Kundu

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Nityalal Sen

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Partho Manna

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Krishna Sarkar

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:

7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:

8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good

9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good

10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good

11. Internal Assessment: Good

12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good

13. Facility of the departmental library: Good

14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects):

Good

15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good

16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

a. Infrastructural facilities: Good

b. Student-teacher relationship: Good

c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Good

e. Library Services: Good

f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Good

g. Cultural activities: Good

h. Sports facilities: Good

i. Academic atmosphere: Good

j Discipline: Good

k.Cleanliness& sanitation: Good

l. Canteen facilities: Good

m. NSS activities: Good

n. NCC activities: Good

o. Drinking water: Good

p. Security in the college: Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Yes

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE (B.SC GEN. WITH MATHS)

NO RESPONSE FROM THE STUDENTS

FACULTY OF ARTS (B. A. & B. Sc. GEN. WITH & WITHOUT GEOGRAPHY)

The survey is based on 40 final semester B. A. Gen. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Faculty of Arts (B. A. Gen. with Geo.) in the year 2021-22 is thirty five and their names are Prof. Debdas Dey (H.O.D. of Pol. Science), Prof. Debtanu Majhi, Prof. Prashant Kumar Sharma, Prof. Kamal Roy, Prof. Madhusree Chatterjee (H.O.D. of Philosophy), Prof. Bhanumati Roy, Prof. Prabir Kanti Basu, Prof. Sunandita Sarker, Prof. Saptaparna Saha, Dr. Samindra Mohan Biswas, H. O. D. (History), Dr. Mitali Dey, Dr. Tanusree Mandal (H.O.D. of Bengali), Dr. Sukanta Mukhopadhyay, Prof. Nagen Murmu, Dr. Laxman Chandra Pal, H.O.D.(Geo.), Prof. Shawli Roy Basu Roy HOD Economics), Prof Tusher Mukherjee, Prof. Argha Saha, Prof. Sanjay Sarkar (H.O.D. of Education), Prof. Sunita Shaw, Dr. Chinmoy Mishra (H.O.D. of Sanskrit), Prof. Amit Mahato, Prof. Asis Kumar Pan, Prof. Tanisha Mitra, Prof. Mita Chatterjee, Prof. Prof. Manisha Dey, Prof. Arpita Dey, Prof. Piyali Chakraborty, Prof. Anindita Mitra, Prof. Gargi Gangopadhyay, Prof. Sima Nandy, Prof. Arpita Singha Roy, Prof. Abir Sen, Prof. Haimanti Banerjee, Prof. Epsita Khan & Prof. Pabitra Bhattacharya.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Asis Kumar Pan

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Madhusree Chatterjee

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Debdas Dey

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Prabir Kanti Basu

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Dr. Samindra Mohan Biswas

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Shawli Roy Basu

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good.

Prof. TusherMukherjee

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Madhusree Chatterjee

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Dr. Tanusree Mondal

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Dr. Laxman Chandra Pal

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Argha Saha

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Chinmoy Mishra

No Response from any student.

Prof. Sanjay Sarkar

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sunita Shaw

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Amit Mahato

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sunandita Sarker

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Saptaparna Saha

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Nagen Murmu

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Mitali Dey

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Dr. Sukanta Mukhopadhyay

1.Sincerity: Very Good

2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Anindita Mitra

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Mita Chatterjee

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Manisha Das

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Abir Sen

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Haimanti Banerjee

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Epsita Khan

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Gargi Gangopadhyay

- 1.Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Very Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Sima Nandy

1.Sincerity: Good

2. Punctuality: Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Arpita Singha Roy

1.Sincerity: Good

2. Punctuality: Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

Prof. Pabitra Bhattacharya

1.Sincerity: Good

2. Punctuality: Good

3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good

2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%

3. Quality of Library Books: Good

4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory

5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory

6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good
11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects): Not
Applicable
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Satisfactory
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Satisfactory
- e. Library Services: Satisfactory
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Satisfactory
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Satisfactory
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good

k. Cleanliness & sanitation: Good

l. Canteen facilities: Satisfactory

m. NSS activities: Good

n. NCC activities: Good

o. Drinking water: Satisfactory

p. Security in the college: Satisfactory

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Applicable

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: To improve the behavior of the office, to improve sports activities

and to introduce smart ID cards.

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

The survey is based on 1 final semester Economics Hons. Students who have passed out during the year 2022-23:

I STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

The number of teachers in the Department of Economics in the year 2022-23 is two and their names were Prof. Shawli Roy Basu, Head of the Department and Prof. Tushar Mukherjee.

The analysis of the student's feedback on the teachers are as follows:

Prof. Shawli Roy Basu

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

Prof. Tushar Mukherjee

1. Sincerity: Very Good
2. Punctuality: Good
3. Accessibility in and out of the class: Very Good

For better academic performance the students need:

More lecture by teachers: Yes

More Assignments:

More class notes: Yes

More Internet Accessibility: Yes

More books in the departmental library: Yes

Remedial class: Yes

II STUDENTS' EVALUATION ON COURSE & TEACHING

1. Syllabus Attractiveness- Good
2. Coverage of the syllabus - 100%
3. Quality of Library Books: Good
4. Internet Facility: Satisfactory
5. Browsing Facility in the library: Satisfactory
6. Availability of prescribed books in the library:
7. Encouragement of the teachers to students in interactive session:
8. Accessibility to the Library Books: Good
9. Participation of the students in the interactive session: Good
10. Helpfulness of the Class Tests: Good

11. Internal Assessment: Good
12. Are the teachers ready to listen to the problems of the students: Good
13. Facility of the departmental library: Good
14. Are the laboratories properly equipped and maintained (for lab based subjects):
Good
15. Facility of classrooms/smart classrooms/ICT based classroom: Good
16. Availability of Addon/ Certificate Course offered by the college: Good

From the above students' evaluation on course & teaching, the curriculum & the facilities available to the students seem to be satisfactory but there is scope for improvement and has to be coped up with the progress of time.

Also, it could be ascertained that the overall performance of the teachers of the department is good but there is no room for complacency and the department will be striving hard to do even better.

III OVERALL RATING BY THE STUDENTS

- a. Infrastructural facilities: Good
- b. Student-teacher relationship: Good
- c. Response of college authorities & Office staff: Good
- e. Library Services: Good
- f. Computer/Wifi facilities: Good
- g. Cultural activities: Good
- h. Sports facilities: Good
- i. Academic atmosphere: Good
- j Discipline: Good
- k.Cleanliness & sanitation: Good
- l. Canteen facilities: Good
- m. NSS activities: Good
- n. NCC activities: Good
- o. Drinking water: Good

p. Security in the college: Good

What areas of the infrastructure need to be improved:

A. Computer Lab: Not Appl.

B. Playground: Yes

C. Classroom: Yes

D. Wifi: Yes

E. Canteen: Yes

F. Security: Yes

G. Library: Yes

H. Washroom: Yes

Suggestions if any: Nil

The college and its staff have been striving hard to meet the interests of the students so that they can make a mark for themselves as well as for the college in the long run.

Conclusion:

On the basis of the feedback of 391 students it is inferred that the teachers to the best of their abilities have been catering to the needs of the students along with the office staff and the Library but it is also the duty of the University to make the syllabus more interesting and job oriented as the students after all want to earn after graduating. Having said this the college should also see to the fact that the learners should easily access the web facilities and other modern amenities in order to cope up with the competition.